GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

Police– Revision petition of Sri R.Govindaraju, Sub Inspector of Police, East Godavari District,(f) SI of Police Vijayawada city against the punishment of " PPI for a period of one year without effect on future increments and pension" – Punishment set aside – Orders – Issued.

HOME (SERVICES-II) DEPARTMENT

G.O.RT.No. 176 **Dated: 17-03-2016**

Read :-

From the Director General of Police,A.P.,Hyderabad,
Lr. Rc.No.67/T1/2016, dt. 23.01.2016.

&&&

ORDER:

In the reference 1st read above, the Director General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad has stated that the Deputy Inspector of Police, Eluru Range has initiated the Departmental proceedings under Rule 20 of the APCS, Rules, 1991 against Sri R.Govindaraju, Sub Inspector of Police, East Godavari District (f)SI of Police Machavaram P.S., Vijayawada City and memorandum of Charge for imposing major penalty was issued vide Memorandum No.315//P/2010, dt. 21-07-2010 of the Deputy Inspector General of Police, Eluru Range, for the following delinquency:-

> **"The charged officer during his tenure as SI of Police at Machavaram P.S., Vijayawada City exhibited reprehensible conduct and indulged in immoral acts in trapping one Complainant (Name with held) in a case in Cr.No. 311/2007, u/s/498(A)IPC of Machavaram P.S., into an affair by abusing his official capacity during the year 2007 and failed to maintain integrity and propriety as an officer of Uniform Services and thereby violated Rule 3 of the A.P.C.S (Conduct) Rules, 1964."**

2. The SDPO, Rajahmundry Urban District, who was conducted the Oral Enquiry submitted his Minutes vide C.No.1/OE/PR/2010, dt.31-12-2013 held the charges against the Charged Officer "not proved". The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Eluru Range disagreed with the findings of the Enquiry Officer and issued a dissent note vide Memorandum C.No.13/OE-PR/2010, dt.23-03-2014 to the Charged Officer together with the tentative reasons for disagreement and called for the written representation of submission of the Charged Officer. The Charged Officer submitted his further Written Statement of Defence on 09-05-2014. Having gone through the connected records, the Deputy Inspector General of Police, Eluru Range imposed the punishment of 'Postponement of increment for one year without effect on future increments and pension' duly treating the Suspension underwent by the Charged Officer from 26-03-2010FN to 16-06-2010 FN as 'Not on duty', vide proceedings C.No.13/OE-PR/2010, dt.18-03-2015.

3. Aggrieved with the above orders, the charged officer submitted his appeal petition to the Appellate Authority and it was considered and rejected by the Inspector General of Police, North Coastal Zone, Hyderabad vide Proceedings Rc.No. 547/A1/NCZ-Hyd/Appeal/2015, dt.07-11-2015.

4. Aggrieved with the above orders, the charged officer submitted his revision petition to Government.

5. Government, after careful examination of the matter with the records made available, hereby set aside the punishment of "PPI for one year without effect on future increments and pension" imposed on Sri R.Govindaraju, Sub Inspector of Police, East Godavari District,(f) SI of Police Vijayawada city and the suspension period i.e., 26.03.2010 FN to 16.06.2010 FN is treated as on duty as the charges are not proved in enquiry.

6. The Director General of Police, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad is requested to take necessary further action accordingly in the matter. The records received through the letter read above are returned herewith and receipt of the same should be acknowledged.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

Dr. MANMOHAN SINGH
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (FAC)

To
The Director General of Police,
Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad (with records).
Copy to:-
The individual concerned (through D.G.P., A.P., Hyderabad).
S.C/S.F.

//FORWARDED::BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER